Environmental Science & lechnology

Vertical Profiles, Sources, and Transport of PFASs in the Arctic Ocean

Leo W.Y. Yeung,^{*,†,‡} Clifton Dassuncao,[§][®] Scott Mabury,[†] Elsie M. Sunderland,[§][®] Xianming Zhang,[§][®] and Rainer Lohmann^{*,||}[®]

[†]Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 3H6, Canada

[‡]MTM Research Centre, School of Science and Technology, Örebro University, 701 82 Örebro, Sweden

[§]Harvard John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge Massachusetts 02138, United States

^{||}Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, Rhode Island 02882, United States

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The relative importance of atmospheric versus oceanic transport for poly- and perfluorinated alkyl substances (PFASs) reaching the Arctic Ocean is not well understood. Vertical profiles from the Central Arctic Ocean and shelf water, snow and meltwater samples were collected in 2012; 13 PFASs (C6–C12 PFCAs; C6, 8, 10 PFSAs; MeFOSAA and EtFOSAA; and FOSA) were routinely detected (range: <5–343 pg/L). PFASs were only detectable above 150 m depth in the polar mixed layer (PML) and halocline. Enhanced concentrations were observed in snow and meltpond samples, implying atmospheric deposition as an important source of PFASs. Model results suggested atmospheric inputs to account for 34-59% (~11–19 pg/L) of measured PFOA



concentrations in the PML (mean $32 \pm 15 \text{ pg/L}$). Modeled surface and halocline measurements for PFOS based on North Atlantic inflow (11–36 pg/L) agreed with measurements (mean, 17, range <5–41 pg/L). Modeled deep water concentrations below 200 m (5–15 pg/L) were slightly higher than measurements (<5 pg/L), suggesting the lower bound of PFAS emissions estimates from wastewater and rivers may provide the best estimate of inputs to the Arctic. Despite low concentrations in deep water, this reservoir is expected to contain most of the PFOS mass in the Arctic (63–180 Mg) and is projected to continue increasing to 2038.

INTRODUCTION

Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) are groups of anthropogenic chemicals having a perfluoroalkyl moiety ($C_nF_{2n+1}-$) with different polar heads (e.g., carboxylate, sulfonate, phosphonate).¹ Much attention has been given to two perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAAs) - perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) because of their potential toxic and bioaccumulative effects, as well as their ubiquitous occurrence in the environment including remote areas.^{2–10} In May 2009, PFOS and chemicals that can degrade to PFOS were listed in the Stockholm Convention as Persistent Organic Pollutants, and parties to the Convention must seek to eliminate the production and use of PFOS.¹¹

Since PFAAs are strong acids and highly soluble in water, oceans are believed to be the final global sink for these compounds.¹² Atmospheric transport of volatile fluorotelomer alcohols (FTOHs) and subsequent oxidative reactions of these compounds are also sources of PFAAs in remote areas like the Arctic, as evidenced by the detection of the FTOHs and their intermediates in remote areas.^{13–16} Inputs of surface waters from the North Pacific and North Atlantic and atmospheric deposition all lead to accumulation of PFASs in Arctic surface waters. The majority of seawater inflow to the Arctic occurs

from mid-depth North Atlantic seawater (20-200 m) and results in PFASs being present below the polar mixed layer (PML).^{10,12}

Several previous studies have reported PFAA concentrations in surface water in different oceans.^{3,17–21} Relatively higher concentrations were found in the Atlantic (PFOS: <5–291 pg/ L; PFOA: <4–439 pg/L) compared to the Pacific (PFOS: <5– 78 pg/L; PFOA: <4–142 pg/L);^{3,20} and higher concentrations were observed in coastal regions compared to off-shore areas.^{6,22} Previous PFAS measurements in Arctic seawater have been limited to surface water (<20 m depth).^{17–19,21} The composition of PFASs previously measured in surface waters varied across different locations, likely due to their complex sources and transport pathways in the Arctic.¹⁹

Compared to the major ocean basins, the Arctic Ocean is smaller and has a much greater continental shelf area (70%) and freshwater inputs.²³ It provides an oceanic pathway between the Pacific and the Atlantic basins and plays an

 Received:
 February 12, 2017

 Revised:
 May 16, 2017

 Accepted:
 May 17, 2017

 Published:
 May 17, 2017

important role in the global thermohaline circulation through its role in the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation.²³ Water of Atlantic origin enters the Arctic through the east side of the Fram Strait into the Eurasian Basin or the Barents Sea (Figure 1). Water flows in a counterclockwise direction in the



Figure 1. Map of stations occupied for this study. Sea-ice and meltponds were sampled at stations denoted "*". Vertical profiles to a depth of >2000 m were obtained at Stations 227, 364, 254, and 275 collected in October 2012 on the research icebreaker *Polarstern*. Profiles on the shelf were <400 m (Stations 62–78) collected in August/September 2012 the United States Coast Guard icebreaker *Healy*. (*Gray lines indicate circulation of intermediate and deep waters; red lines indicate major freshwater input by rivers. The map was obtained from Ocean Data View*).

Nansen basin and then either to the Amundsen basin, or to the Makarov or Canada basins. A minor influx of Pacific water enters the Canada Basin through the Bering Strait (Figure 1). Seasonally varying fresh water input also contributes to Arctic surface waters and are thought to be important for inputs of other contaminants.^{24–26} The outflows of the Arctic Ocean are all to the Atlantic through either the western side of the Fram Strait²⁴ or the complex channels of the Canadian Archipelago.²⁷

The entire Arctic Ocean is seasonally covered by ice.²⁸ Both the formation (reduced wind-mixing) and melting of sea-ice (fresher surface layer) contribute toward ocean stratification. Complex surface and subsurface dynamics of the Arctic water column mean surface water PFAS measurements are not sufficient for understanding spatial and temporal variability and mass accumulation. In addition, biological exposures extend beyond the surface mixed layer for benthic and demersal food webs.

While information on PFASs in the Arctic is limited to surface waters, several studies show the penetration of other organic contaminants to deeper waters. Sobek and Gustafsson²⁹ demonstrated that concentrations of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) were greatest in the intermediate and deep water in samples collected in the early 2000s. A similar enrichment in deeper waters was also confirmed for polybrominated diphenylethers (PBDEs) in the central Arctic Ocean.³⁰

Previous work had already shown that the presence of hexachlorocyclohexanes (HCHs) in deeper waters caused their export from the Arctic Ocean.³¹ Additional vertical profiles were reported for PCBs and PBDEs for the North Atlantic, confirming their penetration to below 2000 m.³² Yamashita et al.²² also showed detectable concentrations of several PFASs in the Subarctic Labrador Sea region at depths greater than 3500 m in vertical profiles from 2004. For these profiles, surface water PFAS concentrations were enriched relative to subsurface waters. The available evidence for these organic contaminants implies that persistent compounds are present in deep waters at concentrations that may exceed those measured in the surface water. A recent study has also shown accumulation of PCBs and PBDEs in deep-sea organism.³³

In the present investigation, water samples were collected and analyzed from different depths of the water column at eight locations in the central Arctic (seawater, snow, and meltpond water), and seven stations on the Arctic shelf (Figure 1). The aims of this study were to (i) understand the spatial and vertical patterns of PFASs in Arctic Ocean seawater; (ii) assess the roles of atmospheric and oceanic transport of PFASs into the Arctic Ocean; and (iii) reconcile measured PFAS data with modeled results for different Arctic ocean water masses based on a geochemical Arctic Ocean box model.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Details of analytical standards and reagents used in the present study are given in the Supporting Information (SI).

Sample Collection. Sampling locations are shown in Figure 1. Coordinates and further details of sample collection, including temperature and salinity data for all cruises are provided in SI Tables S1 and Figure S1.

Water samples (1 L/layer of water/location) were collected on two separate cruises in 2012 as follows: (1) four locations during expedition ARK-XXII/3 in the Central Arctic from surface to bottom (up to 4220 m) by the research icebreaker *Polarstern* between August and September 2012 (SI Tables S1i); and (2) seven locations on the Beaufort Chuckchi shelf from surface to bottom (up to 385 m) by the United States Coast Guard icebreaker *Healy* in October 2012 (SI Tables S1i). Snow and melt pond water samples were also collected at four ice stations during the expedition ARK-XXII/3 (SI Table S1i). Details of the cruise reports can be found elsewhere.^{34,35}

Analytical Standards and Reagents. Potassium salts of perfluorobutanesulfonate (PFBS), PFOS, and ${}^{13}C_4$ -PFOS; sodium salts of perfluorodecanesulfonate (PFDS), perfluorohexanesulfonate (PFHxS) and ${}^{18}O_2$ -PFHxS, perfluorooctanesulfonamido acetate (FOSAA; N-methyl and N-ethyl substituted: MeFOSAA, EtFOSAA), d₃-MeFOSAA, d₅-EtFOSAA, perfluorootanesulfonamide (FOSA), ${}^{13}C_8$ -FOSA, perfluorohexanoate (PFHxA), ${}^{13}C_2$ -PFHxA, perfluoroheptanoate (PFHpA), PFOA, ${}^{13}C_4$ -PFOA, perfluoronanoate (PFNA), ${}^{13}C_5$ -PFNA, perfluorodecanoate (PFDA), ${}^{13}C_2$ -PFUnDA, were obtained from Wellington Laboratories (Guelph, ON).

Chemical and Instrumental Analyses. Samples (seawater: 400 mL (duplicate), snow and meltpond water: 200 mL (single)) were extracted using a solid phase extraction (SPE) cartridge (Strata-X-AW cartridge, Phenomenex, Torrence, CA) following the ISO 25101 method.³⁶ Details of the method are provided in the SI. Separation and quantification of PFASs in seawater, snow and melt pond water samples were performed using an Acquity ultra performance liquid chromatograph



Figure 2. Total PFAS concentration (pg/L), composition (%) and temperature (T, in °C; shown in black dots and trends in black line) in water samples from the Arctic Ocean. (Total PFAS concentration is the sum of detectable PFASs; open circle indicates sample below LOQ; zero was assigned for calculating total PFAS when the samples were found below LOQ).

(UPLC) and a Xevo TQ-S tandem mass spectrometer (MS/ MS - Waters Corporation) operated in negative ionization mode with an atmospheric electrospray interface. An Acquity BEH C18 column (2.1 × 75 mm, 1.7 μ m, 100 Å), maintained at 40 °C was used to achieve chromatographic separation. A 4 μ L extract aliquot was injected onto the column, with 2 mM ammonium acetate in Milli-Q water and MeOH used as mobile phases. Detailed MS/MS conditions, including collision energies, cone voltages, and MS/MS optimization parameters have been reported elsewhere.³⁷ Internal calibration using corresponding mass-labeled standards was used to quantify PFASs with mass-labeled standards (SI Table S2). Internal calibration curves were constructed at 5, 10, 50, 100, 200, 500, 1000, 5000, and 10 000 pg/mL with the addition of an internal mass-labeled standard with the final concentration of 100 pg/ mL. In the current investigation, target PFASs included $C_4 - C_{10}$ PFSAs, C5-C14 PFCAs, FOSAA, MeFOSAA, EtFOSAA, and FOSA. Other PFASs including polyfluoroalkyl phosphate diesters (diPAPs: 4:2, 6:2, 6:2/8:2, 8:2/8:2, 10:2), fluorotelomer unsaturated carboxylates (FTUCAs: 6:2, 8:2, 10:2), fluorotelomer sulfonates (FTSAs: 4:2, 6:2, 8:2), perfluorinated phosphinates (PFPiAs: 6:6, 6:8, 8:8), N-methyl and N-ethyl substituted perfluorooctanesulfonamide (MeFOSA and EtFO-SA) were also monitored during LC-MS/MS analysis. The standards for the congeners of PFSA, FOSA, FOSAA, and PFOA were the linear isomer, whereas the samples were composed of both branched and linear isomers; the concentrations reported for the present study included both linear and branched isomers based on the calibration curve of the linear isomer of the standard.

Quality Assurance/Quality Control. All the reagents and apparatus (sampling bottles, transfer pipet, pipet tips, and falcon tubes) were tested for the presence of target PFASs (<0.1pg/mL). Since Milli-Q water and Waters OASIS WAX-SPE cartridges contained trace amount PFASs, polished Milli-Q water and PFOA-free extraction cartridges (Strata-X-AW cartridge, Phenomenex, Torrence, CA) were used throughout the method development and sample extraction (see the discussion in the SI). Sample extraction was performed in the Advanced Laboratory for Fluorinated and Other New Substances in the Environment (ALFONSE), at the University of Toronto at Scarborough, a Class 100 clean laboratory. Deep layer water samples (3000 m depth from 4 different stations) served as field blanks and were found below limits of quantification (LOQs: 5–20 pg/L, SI Table S3). The definition of LOQs will be explained below. Matrix recoveries were confirmed using the deep layer (3000 m) of water samples (n =4) by spiking 100 μ L of the native standards (1 pg/ μ L) into spike samples and equal amount of MeOH into nonspike samples before extraction; mass-labeled standards were spiked into the LC vials before instrumental analysis. The recoveries were calculated by the difference between the measured values in the spike and nonspike samples divided by the theoretical values \times 100%; most of the target PFASs had the recoveries ranged from 85 to 106%, except 60% for FOSA (SI Table S3). The recoveries of the mass-labeled standards in the real samples (n = 69) based on external calibration curve were 78–121%, except 65% for FOSA (SI Tables S4-S5). Seawater samples were extracted in duplicate and the variability of measured concentrations was found to be at most 23%; the reported values for seawater were an average of the duplicate analysis. The limits of quantification (LOQs) were defined based on several criteria, including (i) the lowest concentration of standard on the calibration curve that could be accurately

measured within $\pm 20\%$ of its theoretical value; (ii) a signal-tonoise ratio equal to or greater than 10; (iii) concentration factor; and (iv) sample volume. The LOQs of the present study were 5–10 pg/L for PFAAs and 20 pg/L for FOSA (SI Table S2b). The interlaboratory comparison between ALFONSE and MTM was limited to PFOA and PFOS, and their respective relative standard deviations for PFOA and PFOS were 27 and 15% at most (see SI for more details, including SI Table S6).

Modeled inputs of PFOS and PFOA to the Arctic **Ocean.** To help evaluate the contributions of atmospheric and oceanic inputs to observed PFOS and PFOA concentrations, we developed a three-compartment geochemical box model for the Arctic Ocean adapted from Soerensen et al.³⁸ representing: (1) the polar mixed layer: PML, (2) the subsurface ocean (20-200 m depth including the halocline), and (3) the deep ocean (200 m - bottom). For PFOS, the model is forced by inputs from the Atlantic Ocean between 1958 and 2038 based on the 3-D ocean circulation model developed by Zhang et al.³⁹ PFOS mass inflow is stratified by depth based on seawater inflow for the surface, subsurface and deep Arctic seawater. We do not include PFAS inputs from the Pacific Ocean since inflow of seawater is small relative to the Atlantic Ocean and no data are available on temporal changes in PFAS inputs for the Pacific. Atmospheric deposition is based on ice-core data from Young et al.¹⁶ We present model simulations forced by both the median (cumulatively: 2410 Mg) and low (842 Mg) emissions scenarios for continental PFOS discharges to the North Atlantic from North American and European wastewater and rivers reported by Zhang and co-workers³⁹ between 1958 and 2038.

For PFOA, we do not have a comparable 3-D simulation to resolve oceanic inflow at the surface, subsurface and in deep waters. We therefore estimate only the contribution of atmospheric deposition to surface water (PML) concentrations based on deposition rates measured in the Devon Ice Cap from the Canadian High Arctic between 1993 and 2007.¹⁵ Deposition prior to 1993 was fixed at the lowest recorded value (7 ng/m²/year). For recent years we assumed two scenarios representing deposition that continues from 2007 values and complete elimination. This allowed us to estimate the relative contribution of atmospheric inputs compared to oceanic inflow.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

PFAS Concentrations and Profiles. In total, 69 samples were analyzed for 39 PFASs in seawater, snow and melt pond water. Only 13 PFASs (C6–C12 PFCAs; C6, 8, 10 PFSAs; MeFOSAA, EtFOSAA, and FOSA) showed detectable concentrations (individual compound range: <5–343 pg/L) (SI Table S7). Therefore, the following discussion will only focus on these 13 PFASs. Figure 2 and SI Tables S7a–d show that the detection of PFASs in the four depth profiles was limited to the PML and halocline (150 m below the surface), except for the North Barents Sea (PS80/227) where PFOS was detected down to 250 m below surface. The detection frequency and range (%, pg/L) of the 13 detectable PFASs are provided in SI Table S8.

PFASs in the Central Arctic Surface Water. Surface water concentrations of total PFASs in the Central Arctic Ocean ranged from 11–174 pg/L. Previous investigations reported total PFAS concentrations of 45–280 pg/L in surface water from the Greenland Sea²¹ and the average concentrations of PFOA and FOSA combined were 112 pg/L in the East

Greenland Arctic Ocean.¹⁸ Average total PFAS concentrations were reported as 500 ± 170 pg/L in surface water of the Arctic Ocean¹⁹ and 40–250 pg/L in the Canadian Arctic.¹⁷ Results from this study (average total PFAS: 98 \pm 73pg/L) were approximately 5-fold lower than previous measurements of PFAS concentrations in Arctic Ocean surface waters.

PFASs in the Arctic Ocean water column. In the four vertical water column profiles, the detection of PFAS was limited to the PML and halocline (150 m below the surface), except for the North Barents Sea (PS80/227) where PFOS was detected down to 250 m below surface. Among the four vertical profiles, maximum individual PFAS concentrations (pg/L) were as follows: 50 (PFOA), 47 (PFOS), 40 (PFBS), 39 (PFNA), 37 (PFHxA), 35 (PFHpA), 27 (PFDS), 22 (PFHxS), and 17 (PFUnDA). The composition of PFASs varied with location and depth, highlighting the combination of different sources (rivers, atmosphere, ocean currents) and circulation of the Arctic. Similar composition profiles were only observed in some layers of water columns (50 and 75 m below surface near the Pole - PS80/364 and 10 and 50 m below surface at the Amundsen basin - East of Gakkel Ridge - PS80/254). To better understand the interplay between PFASs sources and mixing in the Arctic Ocean, we need to take a closer look at water mass circulation.

PFASs in Arctic Ocean Water Masses. The upper Arctic Ocean is strongly stratified and consists of different water masses distributed from the surface to the bottom, with different circulation patterns.⁴⁰ In summer, with the sea ice melt and river runoff, the surface water of the Arctic forms the polar mixed layer (PML), which has a lower salinity compared to the deeper water layer. In the present study, the depth of the PML ranged from 10 to 30 m from surface, where we detected C6-C9 and C11 PFCAs, C4, C6, and C8 PFSAs (SI Table S7a). The PML is mixed by waves, ice rafting, and turbulence caused by the wind stress on the surface. Below the PML is the halocline, the layer above a deeper, warmer and saltier Atlantic Layer.⁴⁰ In the current investigation, the depths of the halocline ranged from 75 to 150 m from surface, where we detected the same suite of PFASs as in the PML. Freezing seawater can change the salinity of seawater during brine formation, which might transport contaminants from the surface to the halocline layer. The different compositions of PFASs in seawater between the PML and the halocline layer (Figure 2) may be explained partly due to different mixing regimes and partly by atmospheric deposition or sea ice melt on a seasonal basis. The upward heat flux from the Atlantic layer warms the transition layer of halocline that prevents further sinking of the surface water, and thus reduces the vertical transport of contaminants to the deep and bottom water.40 However, transport (e.g., due to sinking) of contaminants bound to organic carbon or particles may also take place,⁴¹ as a recent study has shown accumulation of PCBs and PBDEs in deep-sea organisms.33

The ventilation age of the Atlantic layer water varies from 10-80 years, with the water masses closest to the cold halocline being youngest.⁴² The lifetime of tracers in the PML is typically <1 year, in the halocline ~10 years, in the Atlantic water ~25 years, and in Arctic Deep water ~75–300 years.^{43,44} The depths of the Atlantic layer ranged from below the halocline to 1000 m depth, where PFNA and PFOS were detected in 150–250 m below surface the North Barents Sea (PS80/227) and PFBS was detected 150 m below surface in the Amundsen Basin – East Gakkel Ridge (PS80/275). The presence of



Figure 3. Total PFAS concentration (pg/L) and composition in water samples from the Arctic shelf: (A) transect at 144°W longitude; (B) transect at 139°W longitude (see Figure 1). (Total PFAS concentration is the sum of detectable PFASs; zero was assigned for calculating total PFAS when the samples were found below LOQ).

PFASs in the Atlantic layer most likely reflects long-range oceanic transport, since pronounced vertical settling would result in penetration to greater depths, as was previously demonstrated for PCBs.²⁹ Prior work shows particle settling in the North Atlantic is negligible as a transport pathway for PFOSs compared to lateral ocean circulation.^{32,39}

Yamashita et al. reported surface water concentrations of 160-338 pg/L for PFOA, 15-36 pg/L for PFNA, and 8.6-36 pg/L for PFOS in 2003.²⁰ In the Labrador Sea and North Atlantic Ocean, they also detected PFOA at ~50 and 20 pg/L down to 3000 m. In the Atlantic layer in the Arctic Ocean sampled here, though, only PFOS (11-37 pg/L) and PFNA (13 pg/L) were detected in the North Barents Sea (PS80/227). Unexpectedly PFOA, typically a major PFASs, was not detected in this study above LOQ the (5 pg/L) in the waters deeper than 75 m. At the locations further away from the Atlantic Ocean, such as Nansen Basin - West Gakkel Ridge (PS80/ 254), Amundsen Basin - East Gakkel Ridge (PS80/275), and near the Pole (PS80/364), no detectable PFASs were observed in the Atlantic layer. In summary, few PFASs were detected (at low concentrations, <50 pg/L, see Figure 2) in the Arctic Ocean water below the PML and halocline, implying a combination of dilution and delayed transport into the deeper Arctic water.

Concentrations of PFASs in the Water Column Along the Arctic shelf. Concentrations of PFASs in water samples collected along the Chuckchi Sea shelf at different depths from nearshore to offshore are shown in Figure 3 and SI Tables 7e–k. PFOA was the dominant PFAS in almost all samples (detection frequency: 100%; mean 44 ng/L, median 42 ng/L), followed by PFOS (91%; mean 14 ng/L, median 10 ng/L) and PFNA (88%; mean and median of 14 ng/L). Concentrations were in general comparable to those measured in the Central Arctic Ocean. Different PFAS composition profiles were observed between the samples collected along the Arctic shelf

and the Arctic Ocean. One of the reasons might be the complexity in water sources. The water sources of the Arctic Ocean are mainly Atlantic water and sea ice melt, whereas the Arctic shelf represents a combination of Atlantic water, Pacific water, sea ice melt and river runoff.²⁸ Several observations stand out: First, the ratios of PFOA/PFNA in two different sampling transects from nearshore to offshore increased (Stations HLY1203/70 and 71:1.6, Station HLY1203/66:4.9, Station HLY1203/62:7.9; and Station HLY1203/72:1.9, Station HLY1203/76:3.6, Station HLY1203/78:5.3). Second, PFDA was only detected in samples collected from nearshore stations (HLY1203/70 and 71). The decreasing contribution of PFNA to PFOA from nearshore to offshore areas and detection of PFDA limited to nearshore area suggested these sources might come from river runoff to the nearshore area, and then diluted when getting into offshore area. Seasonally varying fresh water inputs are thought to be important sources of other contaminants to the Arctic surface waters.24-26 Third, MeFOSAA was detected in water sample from the Arctic shelf. MeFOSAA is an oxidation product of MeFOSE, which was primarily incorporated into polymeric materials as a surface treatment for products like carpets and textiles.^{45,46} It is also believed to be a PFOS precursor. In contrast to the anionic nature and persistence of PFCAs and PFSAs, MeFOSE is a neutral and volatile compound; it is expected to present in the atmosphere and will ultimately degrade to PFOS under environmental or biological condition.⁴⁵ An earlier study also showed the detection of MeFOSE in the Arctic atmosphere.⁴⁷ Fourth, the PFAS composition profiles were quite different at the water layers between 50 m (PFOA: 69%, PFBS: 10%, PFHxS: 10%; PFOS: 12%) and 76 m (PFOA: 78%; PFNA: 22%) depth at Station HLY1203/62. Different composition profiles of PFAS between the nearshore and offshore samples may be explained by different sources (river runoff at the near shore) and the dilution effect by ocean water. The different

PFAS compositions between the surface and bottom waters may be explained partly by the contribution of ice melt in the surface (changes in salinity from the surface to the bottom).

Concentrations of PFASs in Melt Pond Water and Snow. Samples were collected from mostly first year ice, integrating PFAS deposition from up to one year.⁴⁸ More PFASs (PFUnDA, FOSA, and EtFOSAA) were detected in melt pond water and snow samples compared to seawater from the Arctic shelf and central basin (SI Table S7l). Snow samples showed detectable concentrations of PFCAs (C6–C12), PFSAs (C8 and C10), MeFOSAA, EtFOSAA, and FOSA (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Concentrations and composition of PFAS in snow and meltpond water samples collected from the ice station in the Arctic (see Figure 1 for locations).

Various PFCAs were detected at concentrations greater than 100 pg/L, including PFHxA, PFOA, PFNA, PFDA, PFOS, and FOSA. Total PFAS concentrations (403 \pm 405 pg/L) in the present investigation were much lower than the snow samples collected from Japan and the U.S. (concentrations in the ng/L range),⁴⁹ and similar or greater to previously reported for the Devon ice cap^{15,16} and surface snow from glacier in Svalbard, Norway.⁵⁰ Relatively high total PFASs were observed at Station 5 – PS80/323 (1600 pg/L), which was similar to concentrations in snow samples collected downstream of Svalbard, Norway (1470 pg/L).⁵⁰ Spatial trends might imply that proximity to Eurasian continental atmospheric emissions were a main source of elevated PFASs in snow (see SI Table S9).

Snow has been suggested to be an effective scavenger of PFAS in the atmosphere; enhanced PFAS concentrations in snow are interpreted as evidence for a primary source of PFAA to the Arctic via atmospheric oxidation of volatile precursors.¹⁶ Atmospheric oxidation of volatile FTOHs under low NO_x conditions may form PFCAs of different chain lengths,^{13,51} whereas atmospheric oxidation of volatile perfluorooctane sulfonamido derivatives as well as PFOS.^{52,53} Intermediate compounds such as saturated and unsaturated fluorotelomer acids (FTCAs/FTUCAs: 6:2, 8:2, and 10:2) were suggested to be the connection between the volatile

fluorotelomer precursors and PFCAs; they were below their respective detection limits in this study (FTCAs: 1000 pg/L; FTUCA: 10 pg/L). In a smog chamber study, Ellis et al.¹ observed a production of approximately 1:1 ratio of C8 and C9 PFCAs after oxidation of 8:2 FTOH using the Cl atom as surrogates to OH radicals. A recent investigation showed that heterogeneous photooxidation of 6:2 FTOH yielded C6 and C5 PFCAs in a ratio ranging from 1:1 to 6:1, depending on the surface of the substrates and the length of illuminated period.⁵⁴ Results from these studies imply that observed ratios ranging from 1:1 to 6:1 between different pairs of PFCAs support the occurrence of oxidation of fluorotelomer-based precursors (e.g., FTOH). On the other hand, the ratio between C8 and C9 PFCAs due to direct global emission was reported to be approximately 8:1;¹² and the biotransformation of 8:2 FTOH through beta oxidation preferentially yielded PFOA.⁵⁵ Based on these two results, a recent study suggested that the ratio between C8 and C9 PFCAs due to direct source and biotransformation might be greater than 8:1.56 Although we did not detect intermediates in snow samples, the ratios between different pairs of PFCAs may be an indicator of atmospheric oxidation of volatile fluorotelomer precursors (see SI Table S10). The ratios of C8/C9 (1.2 ± 0.7) and C10/C11 (1.3 ± 0.3) PFCAs were broadly consistent with those found in high Arctic ice caps (C8/C9:1.5 \pm 0.8 and C10/C11:0.9 \pm 0.8). Although variable ratios of C6/C7 PFCAs (2.4 ± 1.8) were observed, our results were within the ratio obtained by heterogeneous photooxidation of 6:2 FTOH.

Modeled PFAS Fluxes into the Arctic Ocean. Figure 5 shows the results of geochemical box model simulations for PFOS and PFOA in the Arctic Ocean. For PFOS, modeled concentrations are highest in the PML and halocline, consistent with the observations reported in Figures 2 and 3. For 2012, the modeled PFOS concentration in the PML and subsurface waters were between 11 and 36 pg/L (low and median scenarios) and agreed well with the mean measured value of 17 pg/L in the PML (range: < 5-41). For PFOS, the modeled contribution of atmospheric deposition to surface water concentrations was minor at <3 pg/L (or up to 30% of observed concentrations; SI Figure S3). In deeper waters, the modeled value (range: 5-15 pg/L) is slightly higher than observations (<5 pg/L), suggesting the lower emissions scenario is more consistent with observed concentrations. Despite relatively low concentrations in deep Arctic waters due to dilution and mixing, the majority of the PFOS mass (74%, Figure 5 top panel) is still expected in this region of water column. Only 4% of the modeled PFOS mass is found in the PML and 22% in the mid-depth/halocline waters according to this model calculation. Although PFOS concentrations in surface and mid-depth waters peaked in the early 2000s, concentrations in deeper waters are still increasing based on model simulations and thus may be detectable in future ocean campaigns.

For PFOA, modeled mean concentrations in the PML from atmospheric deposition are estimated to be between 11 and 19 pg/L, depending on assumptions related to recent PFOA inputs (Figure 5 bottom panel), compared to the mean observed concentration in surface waters of 32 ± 15 pg/L. While the PFOS burden in Arctic seawater is accounted for mainly by North Atlantic inputs, modeling results for PFOA suggest approximately 34-59% of surface water concentrations are from atmospheric inputs. These results are consistent with a variety of studies that show atmospheric transport and



Figure 5. Modeled PFOS and PFOA concentrations in surface waters (PML, 0–20 m), subsurface (including the halocline, 20–200 m), and deep waters (200 m–bottom) of the Arctic Ocean based on the geochemical box model adapted from Soerensen et al.³⁸ For PFOS, median and low emissions scenarios represent uncertainty in the magnitude of historic discharges from North American and European wastewater and rivers into the North Atlantic Ocean, that then circulate into the Arctic based on the 3-D ocean simulation of Zhang et al.³⁹ For PFOA, resolved oceanic inputs are not available, thus we used deposition rates from the Devon ice cap in the Canadian High Arctic to estimate the contribution of atmospheric inputs to those observed in the polar mixed layer (PML) in this work (bottom panel). Dashed lines represent alternate assumptions for deposition after 2007: the top line assumes deposition values from 2007 continue, and the bottom line assumes zero deposition.

degradation of PFOA precursors is a more important source to the global ocean than for PFOS where direct discharges are estimated to comprise more than 95% of total inputs.⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹

Mass Budget of PFASs in the Arctic Ocean. We derived mass budgets for PFASs in sampling regions of the Arctic basin, including the central Arctic Ocean, the Beaufort/Chuckhi Sea and the ice layer (Table 1). Combining volumes and measured PFAS concentrations resulted in the following PFAS budgets (in Mg) for the surface Central Arctic Ocean: PFOA 8.6 \pm 6.8; PFOS 4.8 \pm 4.4; PFNA 4.2 \pm 3.4; PFHpA 3.9 \pm 3.8; PFHxA 3.6 \pm 3.8; PFBS 3.6 \pm 2.4; and PFHxS 2.3 \pm 2.1 Mg. In total, there are 31 \pm 26 Mg of PFASs present in the upper Arctic Ocean water (Table 1). We note that the amount of PFOA and PFOS at 1/2 LOQ (2.5 pg/L) in the Atlantic water layer down to 1000 m would add ~10 Mg per congener.

For the Beaufort and Chukchi Seas, the total PFAS budget amounted to 4.9 Mg, dominated by PFOA (almost 3 Mg), with 900 kg from PFNA and almost 1 Mg from PFOS (Table 1). In August 2012, a total of 4.1×10^6 km² were covered by ice, most of which was thin first year ice.⁶⁰ Assuming a 1m thickness (a rough estimation) across the Arctic, and average PFAS concentrations derived from snow and meltwater resulted in a stock of around 1.8 Mg of total PFASs in ice, dominated by >400 kg each from PFNA, PFOA, and PFOS.

Overall, the PFAS budgets in the Arctic Ocean derived here are lower than modeled masses for PFOS shown in Figure 5 due to different regions of the Arctic considered, and in comparison to mass budgets present in the North Atlantic (200 Mg in surface layer).⁶¹ Overall, we find the PML and halocline in the Arctic Ocean already contain more PFASs than PCBs (2 Mg), due to the much greater dissolved PFAS concentrations. Comparably greater proportions of PCB inputs have reached the deeper water masses, where an estimated 35 Mg are contained.²⁹

Environmental Implications. Both atmospheric and oceanic transport of PFASs have been proposed as important long-range transport mechanisms.^{12,14} Detection of PFAS in snow samples in this study suggests the ongoing importance of atmospheric deposition for some PFCAs, which may come

Table 1. Average Concentrations (pg/L), Volumes (km³) for the Surface Water of the Central Arctic and Beaufort/Chukchi Seas and Corresponding Mass Budgets (kg) for PFASs

Central Arctic		PFHxA	PFHpA	PFOA	PFNA	PFBS	PFHxS	PFOS	sum PFASs
surface ocean	average conc ^a	11	12	25	13	11	7	14	
volume (km ³)	SD	11	11	20	10	7	6	13	
337 000	mass (kg)	3590	3940	8550	4230	3590	2330	4850	31 100
	SD	3790	3760	6810	3380	2430	2190	4470	26 800
Beaufort/Chukchi	average conc ^b			42	13			14	79
volume (km ³)	SD			19	7			9	32
71 700	mass (kg)			3030	899			982	4910
	SD			1329	476			671	2480
snow/meltpond water	average conc	69	31	115	107		6	99	
volume (km ³)	SD	58	13	101	86		7	136	
4100	mass (kg)	283	127	472	439		25	406	1750
	SD.	238	53	414	353		29	558	1640

^{*a*}Average concentrations of locations PS80/275, PS80/254, PS80/364, and PS80/227 up to the depth of 75 m from surface. ^{*b*}Average concentrations of locations HL1203/72, HL1203/76, HL1203/78, HL1203/70, HL1203/71, HL1203/66, and HL1203/62 up to the depth of 75m from surface; Half LOQ was used for calculating the average value when the sample was below LOQ; SD denotes standard deviation of the average values.

from atmospheric oxidation of volatile precursor compounds or particles. We report low concentrations of PFASs in the Atlantic water layer of the Arctic Ocean, which is consistent with mixing and dilution of inputs from the Atlantic Ocean. Yet PFAS concentrations in deeper water masses are predicted to increase over time (Figure 5). A recent investigation calculated vertical transport of PFASs based on an estimate of the settling of organic matter and measured concentrations of PFASs bound to particles. The authors suggesting the biological pump a sink of some PFASs.⁶² Our observations of limited penetration of PFASs at depth suggests this flux must be minimal for most areas of the Arctic. Results for PFASs contrast vertical profiles of PCBs and PBDEs in the Arctic^{29,30} indicating vertical transport processes are weaker and potentially irrelevant for PFASs, as suggested by some modeling work.³⁹

With the implementation of Stockholm Convention and PFOA Stewardship program, the emission of PFOS-based and PFOA and higher-chain length PFCAs should be greatly reduced and the inputs of these PFASs are expected to decrease. By contrast, short-chain PFASs have been introduced into market as replacement and their environmental levels are expected to increase. A recent study showed increased contribution of PFBS to PFOS in marine mammals during the period 2002 to 2012 in South China.⁶³ Although analytical methods are available for measuring some short-chain PFASs (C4-C7 PFAAs), more sensitive chromatographic techniques should be developed to measure low levels in the samples for some "ultrashort"-chain PFAAs (e.g., C2 and C3). Long-term PFAS monitoring in snow samples from different ice stations may be useful to determine the input of PFAS via atmospheric deposition to the Arctic.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.7b00788.

Details on the sampling, locations and auxiliary measurements, PFASs' chemical analysis, concentrations and QA/QC measures (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*(L.W.Y.) Phone: (46) 19 30 14 21; fax: (46) 19 30 35 66; email: Leo.Yeung@oru.se.

*(R.L.) Phone: (1) 401-874-6612; fax: (1) 401-874-6811; email: rlohmann@uri.edu

ORCID 🔍

Clifton Dassuncao: 0000-0001-7140-1344 Elsie M. Sunderland: 0000-0003-0386-9548

Xianming Zhang: 0000-0002-5301-7899

Rainer Lohmann: 0000-0001-8796-3229

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We greatly acknowledge the officers and crews for assistance during sampling; Polarstern: Drs. Catherine Lalande and Antje Boetius (Alfred Wegener Institute Bremerhaven Germany); Healy: Dr. Jeremy T. Mathis and Natalie M Monacci (University of Alaska Fairbanks). R.L. and E.M.S. acknowledge support from NSF's Office of Polar Programs (ARC 1203486, 1203496) to study the transport pathways of organic pollutants into the Arctic Ocean. S.A.M. acknowledges the fund from Canada Foundation for Innovation: Advanced Laboratory for Fluorinated and Other New Substances in the Environment (ALFONSE).

REFERENCES

(1) Buck, R. C.; Franklin, J.; Berger, U.; Conder, J. M.; Cousins, I. T.; de Voogt, P.; Jensen, A. A.; Kannan, K.; Mabury, S. A.; van Leeuwen, S. P. J. Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances in the environment: Terminology, classification, and origins. *Integr. Environ.* Assess. Manage. **2011**, 7 (4), 513–541.

(2) Ahrens, L.; Xie, Z.; Ebinghaus, R. Distribution of perfluoroalkyl compounds in seawater from Northern Europe, Atlantic Ocean, and Southern Ocean. *Chemosphere* **2010**, *78* (8), 1011–1016.

(3) Ahrens, L.; Barber, J. L.; Xie, Z.; Ebinghaus, R. Longitudinal and latitudinal distribution of perfluoroalkyl compounds in the surface water of the Atlantic Ocean. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *43* (9), 3122–3127.

(4) Butt, C. M.; Berger, U.; Bossi, R.; Tomy, G. T. Levels and trends of poly- and perfluorinated compounds in the arctic environment. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2010**, *408* (15), 2936–2965.

(5) Giesy, J. P.; Kannan, K. Global distribution of perfluorooctane sulfonate in wildlife. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2001, 35 (7), 1339–1342.
(6) González-Gaya, B.; Dachs, J.; Roscales, J. L.; Caballero, G.; Jiménez, B. Perfluoroalkylated Substances in the Global Tropical and Subtropical Surface Oceans. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2014, 48 (22), 13076–13084.

(7) Houde, M.; Martin, J. W.; Letcher, R. J.; Solomon, K. R.; Muir, D. C. G. Biological monitoring of polyfluoroalkyl substances: A review. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, 40 (11), 3463–3473.

(8) Martin, J. W.; Mabury, S. A.; Solomon, K. R.; Muir, D. C. G. Bioconcentration and tissue distribution of perfluorinated acids in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **2003**, 22 (1), 196–204.

(9) Martin, J. W.; Mabury, S. A.; Solomon, K. R.; Muir, D. C. G. Dietary accumulation of perfluorinated acids in juvenile rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **2003**, 22 (1), 189–195.

(10) Yamashita, N.; Kannan, K.; Taniyasu, S.; Horii, Y.; Petrick, G.; Gamo, T. A global survey of perfluorinated acids in oceans. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2005**, *51* (8–12), 658–668.

(11) Stockholm Convention. POPs PFOS, its salts and PFOSF were listed in Annex B in the Conference of the Parties 4 of the Stockholm Convention (COP-4. http://chm.pops.int/Convention/Pressrelease/COP4Geneva9May2009/tabid/542/languages/en-US/Default.aspx); 2009.

(12) Prevedouros, K.; Cousins, I. T.; Buck, R. C.; Korzeniowski, S. H. Sources, fate and transport of perfluorocarboxylates. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, 40 (1), 32–44.

(13) Ellis, D. A.; Martin, J. W.; De Silva, A. O.; Mabury, S. A.; Hurley, M. D.; Sulbaek Andersen, M. P.; Wallington, T. J. Degradation of fluorotelomer alcohols: a likely atmospheric source of perfluorinated carboxylic acids. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2004**, 38 (12), 3316–3321.

(14) Ellis, D. A.; Martin, J. W.; Mabury, S. A.; Hurley, M. D.; Andersen, M. P. S.; Wallington, T. J. Atmospheric lifetime of fluorotelomer alcohols. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2003**, *37* (17), 3816– 3820.

(15) MacInnis, J. J.; French, K.; Muir, D. C. G.; Spencer, C.; Criscitiello, A.; De Silva, A. O.; Young, C. J. Emerging investigator series: a 14-year depositional ice record of perfluoroalkyl substances in the High Arctic. *Env. Sci. Process. Impacts* **2017**, *19* (1), 22–30.

(16) Young, C. J.; Furdui, V. I.; Franklin, J.; Koerner, R. M.; Muir, D. C. G.; Mabury, S. A. Perfluorinated acids in Arctic snow: new evidence for atmospheric formation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41* (10), 3455–3461.

(17) Benskin, J. P.; Muir, D. C. G.; Scott, B. F.; Spencer, C.; De Silva, A. O.; Kylin, H.; Martin, J. W.; Morris, A.; Lohmann, R.; Tomy, G.;

et al. Perfluoroalkyl acids in the atlantic and Canadian arctic oceans. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46*, 5815–5823.

(18) Busch, J.; Ahrens, L.; Xie, Z.; Sturm, R.; Ebinghaus, R. Polyfluoroalkyl compounds in the East Greenland Arctic Ocean. J. Environ. Monit. 2010, 12 (6), 1242–1246.

(19) Cai, M.; Zhao, Z.; Yin, Z.; Ahrens, L.; Huang, P.; Cai, M.; Yang, H.; He, J.; Sturm, R.; Ebinghaus, R.; et al. Occurrence of perfluoroalkyl compounds in surface waters from the North Pacific to the Arctic Ocean. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46* (2), 661–668.

(20) Yamashita, N.; Kannan, K.; Taniyasu, S.; Horii, Y.; Petrick, G.; Gamo, T. A global survey of perfluorinated acids in oceans. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2005**, *51* (8–12), 658–668.

(21) Zhao, Z.; Xie, Z.; Möller, A.; Sturm, R.; Tang, J.; Zhang, G.; Ebinghaus, R. Distribution and long-range transport of polyfluoroalkyl substances in the Arctic, Atlantic Ocean and Antarctic coast. *Environ. Pollut.* **2012**, *170*, 71–77.

(22) Yamashita, N.; Taniyasu, S.; Petrick, G.; Wei, S.; Gamo, T.; Lam, P. K. S.; Kannan, K. Perfluorinated acids as novel chemical tracers of global circulation of ocean waters. *Chemosphere* **2008**, *70* (7), 1247–1255.

(23) The Freshwater Budget of the Arctic Ocean: [Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Research Workshop on the Freshwater Budget of the Arctic Ocean, Tallinn, Estonia, 27 April - 1 May 1998], NATO science series Series 2, Environmental security; Lewis, E. L., Ed.; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, 2000.

(24) Fahrbach, E.; Meincke, J.; Østerhus, S.; Rohardt, G.; Schauer, U.; Tverberg, V.; Verduin, J. Direct measurements of volume transports through Fram Strait. *Polar Res.* **2001**, *20* (2), 217–224.

(25) Schauer, U.; Loeng, H.; Rudels, B.; Ozhigin, V. K.; Dieck, W. Atlantic Water flow through the Barents and Kara Seas. *Deep Sea Res., Part I* 2002, 49 (12), 2281–2298.

(26) Woodgate, R. A.; Aagaard, K.; Weingartner, T. J. Monthly temperature, salinity, and transport variability of the Bering Strait through flow: BERING STRAIT THROUGH FLOW. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2005**, 32 (4), 1–4.

(27) Melling, H.; Agnew, T. A.; Falkner, K. K.; Greenberg, D. A.; Lee, C. M.; Münchow, A.; Petrie, B.; Prinsenberg, S. J.; Samelson, R. M.; Woodgate, R. A. Fresh-water fluxes via pacific and arctic outflows across the Canadian Polar Shelf. In *Arctic–Subarctic Ocean Fluxes*; Dickson, R. R., Meincke, J., Rhines, P., Eds.; Springer Netherlands: Dordrecht, 2008; pp 193–247.

(28) Woodgate, R. Arctic Ocean Circulation: Going around at the top of the world. *Nat. Educ. Knowl.* 2013; Vol. 4, p 8. https://www.nature.com/scitable/knowledge/library/arctic-ocean-circulation-going-around-at-the-102811553

(29) Sobek, A.; Gustafsson, Ö. Deep water masses and sediments are main compartments for polychlorinated biphenyls in the Arctic Ocean. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (12), 6719–6725.

(30) Salvadó, J. A.; Sobek, A.; Carrizo, D.; Gustafsson, Ö. Observation-Based Assessment of PBDE Loads in Arctic Ocean Waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50* (5), 2236–2245.

(31) Harner, T.; Kylin, H.; Bidleman, T. F.; Strachan, W. M. J. Removal of α - and γ -Hexachlorocyclohexane and Enantiomers of α -Hexachlorocyclohexane in the Eastern Arctic Ocean. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1999**, 33 (8), 1157–1164.

(32) Sun, C.; Soltwedel, T.; Bauerfeind, E.; Adelman, D. A.; Lohmann, R. Depth Profiles of Persistent Organic Pollutants in the North and Tropical Atlantic Ocean. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50* (12), 6172–6179.

(33) Jamieson, A. J.; Malkocs, T.; Piertney, S. B.; Fujii, T.; Zhang, Z. Bioaccumulation of persistent organic pollutants in the deepest ocean fauna. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **2017**, *1* (3), 0051.

(34) Healy 1203. http://icefloe.net/2012_hly1203.

(35) Die Expedition ARK-XXVII/3. Alfred-Wegener-Institut, Helmholtz-Zentrum Fur Polar und Meeresforschung. https://epic.awi.de/36855/1/ ARK-XXVII 3 weekly reports.pdf.

(36) ISO. ISO25101. Water Quality—determination of Perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS) and Perfluorooctanoate (PFOA)—method for Unfiltered Samples Using Solid Phase Extraction and Liquid Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry, 2009.

(37) Yeung, L. W. Y.; Robinson, S. J.; Koschorreck, J.; Mabury, S. A. Part I. A temporal study of PFCAs and their precursors in human plasma from two German cities 1982–2009. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, 47 (8), 3865–3874.

(38) Soerensen, A. L.; Jacob, D. J.; Schartup, A. T.; Fisher, J. A.; Lehnherr, I.; St. Louis, V. L.; Heimbürger, L.-E.; Sonke, J. E.; Krabbenhoft, D. P.; Sunderland, E. M. A mass budget for mercury and methylmercury in the Arctic Ocean: ARCTIC OCEAN HG AND MEHG MASS BUDGET. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **2016**, *30* (4), 560– 575.

(39) Zhang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Sunderland, E. M. Assessment of the fate and transport of perfluoroalkyl acids in the ocean using a highresolution global oceanic circulation model; *Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 35th Annual Meeting*, Vancouver, BC, Canada., 2014.

(40) Rudels, B.; Jones, E. P.; Anderson, L. G.; Kattner, G. On the intermediate depth waters of the Arctic Ocean. In *Geophysical Monograph Series*; Johannessen, O. M., Muench, R. D., Overland, J. E., Eds.; American Geophysical Union: Washington, DC, 1994; Vol. 85, pp 33–46.

(41) Waples, J. T.; Klump, J. V. Vertical and horizontal particle transport in the coastal waters of a large lake: An assessment by sediment trap and thorium-234 measurements: Particle Transport in Coastal Waters. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans 2013, 118 (10), 5376–5397.

(42) Tanhua, T.; Jones, E. P.; Jeansson, E.; Jutterström, S.; Smethie, W. M.; Wallace, D. W. R.; Anderson, L. G. Ventilation of the Arctic Ocean: Mean ages and inventories of anthropogenic CO $_2$ and CFC-11. *J. Geophys. Res.* **2009**, *114*, 1–11.

(43) Bönisch, G.; Schlosser, P. Deep water formation and exchange rates in the Greenland/Norwegian Seas and the Eurasian Basin of the Arctic Ocean derived from tracer balances. *Prog. Oceanogr.* **1995**, *35* (1), 29–52.

(44) Schlosser, P.; Bayer, R.; Bönisch, G.; Cooper, L. W.; Ekwurzel, B.; Jenkins, W. J.; Khatiwala, S.; Pfirman, S.; Smethie, W. M. Pathways and mean residence times of dissolved pollutants in the ocean derived from transient tracers and stable isotopes. *Sci. Total Environ.* **1999**, 237–238, 15–30.

(45) 3M. Fluorochemical Use, Distribution and Release Overview, U.S. EPA Public Docket AR226-0550; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: Washington, DC, 1999.

(46) Olsen, G. W.; Church, T. R.; Larson, E. B.; van Belle, G.; Lundberg, J. K.; Hansen, K. J.; Burris, J. M.; Mandel, J. H.; Zobel, L. R. Serum concentrations of perfluorooctanesulfonate and other fluorochemicals in an elderly population from Seattle, Washington. *Chemosphere* **2004**, *54* (11), 1599–1611.

(47) Shoeib, M.; Harner, T.; Vlahos, P. Perfluorinated chemicals in the arctic atmosphere. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, 40 (24), 7577–7583.

(48) Boetius, A.; Albrecht, S.; Bakker, K.; Bienhold, C.; Felden, J.; Fernandez-Mendez, M.; Hendricks, S.; Katlein, C.; Lalande, C.; Krumpen, T.; et al. Export of Algal Biomass from the Melting Arctic Sea Ice. *Science* **2013**, 339 (6126), 1430–1432.

(49) Kwok, K. Y.; Taniyasu, S.; Yeung, L. W. Y.; Murphy, M. B.; Lam, P. K. S.; Horii, Y.; Kannan, K.; Petrick, G.; Sinha, R. K.; Yamashita, N. Flux of perfluorinated chemicals through wet deposition in Japan, the United States, and several other countries. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44* (18), 7043–7049.

(50) Kwok, K. Y.; Yamazaki, E.; Yamashita, N.; Taniyasu, S.; Murphy, M. B.; Horii, Y.; Petrick, G.; Kallerborn, R.; Kannan, K.; Murano, K.; et al. Transport of perfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) from an arctic glacier to downstream locations: implications for sources. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2013**, 447, 46–55.

(51) Hurley, M. D.; Misner, J. A.; Ball, J. C.; Wallington, T. J.; Ellis, D. A.; Martin, J. W.; Mabury, S. A.; Sulbaek Andersen, M. P. Atmospheric chemistry of CF3CH2CH2OH: kinetics, mechanisms and products of Cl atom and OH radical initiated oxidation in the

presence and absence of NOX. J. Phys. Chem. A 2005, 109 (43), 9816-9826.

(52) D'eon, J. C.; Hurley, M. D.; Wallington, T. J.; Mabury, S. A. Atmospheric chemistry of N-methyl perfluorobutane sulfonamidoe-thanol, C4F9SO2N(CH3)CH2CH2OH: kinetics and mechanism of reaction with OH. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, 40 (6), 1862–1868.

(53) Martin, J. W.; Ellis, D. A.; Mabury, S. A.; Hurley, M. D.; Wallington, T. J. Atmospheric chemistry of perfluoroalkanesulfonamides: kinetic and product studies of the OH radical and Cl atom initiated oxidation of N-ethyl perfluorobutanesulfonamide. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2006, 40 (3), 864–872.

(54) Styler, S. A.; Myers, A. L.; Donaldson, D. J. Heterogeneous photooxidation of fluorotelomer alcohols: a new source of aerosol-phase perfluorinated carboxylic acids. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, 47 (12), 6358–6367.

(55) Wang, N.; Szostek, B.; Buck, R. C.; Folsom, P. W.; Sulecki, L. M.; Gannon, J. T. 8–2 Fluorotelomer alcohol aerobic soil biodegradation: Pathways, metabolites, and metabolite yields. *Chemosphere* **2009**, *75* (8), 1089–1096.

(56) Rankin, K.; Mabury, S. A.; Jenkins, T. M.; Washington, J. W. A North American and global survey of perfluoroalkyl substances in surface soils: Distribution patterns and mode of occurrence. *Chemosphere* **2016**, *161*, 333–341.

(57) Armitage, J. M.; Schenker, U.; Scheringer, M.; Martin, J. W.; MacLeod, M.; Cousins, I. T. Modeling the Global Fate and Transport of Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS) and Precursor Compounds in Relation to Temporal Trends in Wildlife Exposure. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, 43 (24), 9274–9280.

(58) Armitage, J. M.; MacLeod, M.; Cousins, I. T. Modeling the Global Fate and Transport of Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) and Perfluorooctanoate (PFO) Emitted from Direct Sources Using a Multispecies Mass Balance Model. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *43* (4), 1134–1140.

(59) Wania, F. A Global Mass Balance Analysis of the Source of Perfluorocarboxylic Acids in the Arctic Ocean. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41* (13), 4529–4535.

(60) National Snow & Ice Data Center; Arctic Sea Ice News & Analysis. Arctic sea ice extent settles at record seasonal minimum. September 19, 2012. http://nsidc.org/arcticseaicenews/2012/09/arctic-sea-iceextent-settles-at-record-seasonal-minimum/.

(61) Lohmann, R.; Jurado, E.; Dijkstra, H. A.; Dachs, J. Vertical eddy diffusion as a key mechanism for removing perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) from the global surface oceans. *Environ. Pollut.* **2013**, *179*, 88–94.

(62) Casal, P.; González-Gaya, B.; Zhang, Y.; Reardon, A. J. F.; Martin, J. W.; Jiménez, B.; Dachs, J. Accumulation of Perfluoroalkylated Substances in Oceanic Plankton. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51* (5), 2766–2775.

(63) Lam, J. C. W.; Lyu, J.; Kwok, K. Y.; Lam, P. K. S. Perfluoroalkyl Substances (PFASs) in Marine Mammals from the South China Sea and Their Temporal Changes 2002–2014: Concern for Alternatives of PFOS? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50* (13), 6728–6736.